

# 量子力学の解釈の歴史と現在

## 文献表

森田紘平

京都大学・JSPS

2016/10/31

第5回量子基礎論懇話会  
@情報研究システム開発機構

# Reference1

- Allori, V. et al. 2008. On the common structure of Bohmian mechanics and the GRW theory. *British Journal for Philosophy of Science*. **59**. 353–389.
- Barrett, J. and Byrne, P. 2012. *The Everett interpretation of quantum mechanics*. Princeton.
- Bell, J. and Aspect, A. 2004. *Speakable and Unspeakable in Quantum Mechanics*. Cambridge.
- Camilleri, K. 2009. Constructing the myth of the Copenhagen interpretation. *Perspectives on science*. **17**. 26–57.
- ———. 2011. *Heisenberg and the interpretation of quantum mechanics*. Cambridge.

# Reference2

- Everett, H. 1956[2012]. Quantum Mechanics by the method of the universal wavefunction. in J. Barrett et al.(eds.). *The Everett interpretation of quantum mechanics*. Princeton. 72–172.
- ———. 1957. 'Relative state' formulation of quantum mechanics. *Reviews of modern physics*. **29**. 454–462.
- Frigg, R. 2009. 'GRW Theory'. in D. Greenberger et. al. (eds.). *Compendium of Quantum Physics*. Springer. 266-270.
- Ghirardi, G. et. al. 1986. Unified dynamics for microscopic and macroscopic systems. *Physical Review*. D34. 470.
- Howard, D. 1994. What makes a classical concept classical?. in J. Faye and H. Folse. (ed.). *Niels Bohr and contemporary philosophy*. Kluwer academic publishers. 201–230.
- ———. 2004. Who invented the 'Copenhagen interpretation'?. *Studies in History and Philosophy for modern science*. **16**. 171–201.

# Reference3

- Kent, A. 2010. One World Versus Many: The Inadequacy of Everettian Accounts of Evolution, Probability, and Scientific Confirmation. in S. Saunders et al. (eds.). *Many Worlds?*. Oxford. 307–354.
- Omnès, R. 1992. Consistent interpretation of quantum mechanics. *Reviews of Modern Physics*. **64**. 339–382.
- Schlosshauer, M. 2007. *Decoherence and the Quantum-to-Classical Transition*. Springer.
- Saunders, S. 2010a. Many Worlds? An Introduction. in S. Saunders et. al. (eds.). *Many Worlds?*. Oxford. 1–49.
- ———. 2010b. Chance in the Everett Interpretation. in S. Saunders et. al. (eds.). *Many Worlds?*. Oxford. 181–205.
- Saunders, S., Barrett, J., Kent, A. and Wallace, D. (eds.). 2010. *Many Worlds?*. Oxford.
- Tappenden, P. 2011. Evidence and Uncertainty in Everett's Multiverse. *Brit. J. Phil. Sci.* **62**. 99–123.

# Reference4

- Wallace, D. 2008. Philosophy of quantum mechanics. in D. Rickles. (eds.). *The Ashgate companion to contemporary philosophy in physics*. Ashgate. 17–98.
- ———. 2010a. Decoherence and Ontology. in S. Saunders et al. (eds.). *Many Worlds?*. Oxford. 53–72.
- ———. 2010b. How to Prove the Born Rule. in S. Saunders et al. (eds.). *Many Worlds?*. Oxford. 227–263.
- ———. 2012. *The emergent multiverse*. Oxford.
- ———. 2013. The Everett interpretation. in R. Batterman. (eds.). *Oxford Handbook of Philosophy of Physics*. Oxford. 460–488.
- ———. 2016. What is orthodox quantum mechanics?.  
arXiv:1604:05973[quant-ph]
- Zinkernagel, H. 2016. Niels Bohr on the wave function and the classical/quantum divide. *Studies in History and Philosophy of Modern Physics*. **53**. 9–19
- 森田紘平. 2014. 「コペンハーゲン解釈とニールス・ボーアは両立するか」. 『科学哲学科学史研究』, **8**. 77–87.
- ———. 2015. 「Everett 解釈成立における情報概念」. 『科学哲学科学史研究』, **9**. 77–102.